

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Book 1,852 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tupto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,761	01/26/2001	Mark T. Wajer	46396-628	7396
75	590 06/04/2003			
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 S.W. MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205			EXAM	INER
			ALVO, MARC S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/769,761	WAJER ET AL				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steve Alvo	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shet with the	correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oby within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror le, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	March 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14,16-30 and 32-48 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-30 and 32-47</u> is/are rejected	l.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	its have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applica	tion No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number:

09/769<u>.</u>761 Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14, 16-30, 32-45, 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/41917 in view of LUNDGREN et al (5,310,458) or PARKER et al (3,193,445).

WO 96/41917 teaches bleaching mechanical pulp (see Field of the Invention) with 1-5% peroxide in the absence of silicate and sodium hydroxide using 0.3 to 2% MgO, based on the weight of the pulp, having large particle surface areas to obtain a high ISO brightness at high bleaching efficiency. The MgO of WO 96/41917 is added prior to or simultaneously with the peroxide. WO 96/41917 further teaches that the pulp can be pretreated with a chelating agent to remove detrimental metal ions from the pulp, see page 3, 6th full paragraph. LUNDGREN et al teaches (column 5, lines 27-46) that adding the complexing agent under neutral conditions removes the detrimental ions, but not the beneficial Mg ions. It would have been obvious to use the neutral pH of LUNDGREN, e.g. preferred pH of 5 to 7 or especially preferred pH of 6 to 7 (column 3, lines 53-57) et al so that only the detrimental ions are removed and so that the Mg ions from the MgO particles are not removed. It is also noted that LUNDGREN et al teaches using a peroxide peroxide bleach step of 8.0 (column 3, line 57) which overlaps the claimed range. Or PARKER et al teaches that a pH of 8.0 provides the best results for pewroxide bleaching. It would have been obvious to use a pH of 8.0 during the peroxide bleaching of

Art Unit: 1731

(column 3, line 57) to provide the best bleaching as taught by LUNDGREN et al (5,310,458) or PARKER et al (3,193,445).

The argument that the bleaching of LUNDGREN et al is outside the claimed range is not convincing as LUNDGREN et al teaches the second step, e.g. the peroxide bleach step, should be at a preferred pH of 8.0 (column 3, line 57). The other arguments are moot due to the new art rejections.

Applicant's amendment, claiming a pH 5.0 to 8.5, necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

When filing an "Official" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file. The "Official" FAX phone numbers for this TC 1700 are:

09/769,761

Art Unit: 1731

Non-Final Fax:

(703) 872-9310

After-Final FAX:

(703) 872-9311.

When filing an "Unofficial" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Unofficial" for Draft Documents and other Communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The "Unofficial" FAX phone number for this Art Unit (1731) is (703) 305-7115.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the **primary** examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2048. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Steve Griffin, can be reached on 703-308-1164.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-0661**.

MSA 5/29/2003

STEVE ALVO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1731